Ethical standards of the publication process

The editorial Board of the scientific journal "Proceedings of the Orenburg Institute (branch) of the MSLA" in its activities is guided by the provisions of international standards and codes of publication (publishing) ethics (Committee on Publication Ethics (SORE), etc.).

1. Chief and responsible editors of the journal:

1.1. Strive to meet the needs of readers and authors and constantly improve the magazine;

1.2. Protect freedom of opinion;

1.3. Remain faithful to the principles of scientific honesty;

1.4. Do not allow commercial interests to compromise intellectual and ethical standards;

1.5. We are always ready to publish corrections, explanations, refutations (to withdraw articles) and apologies when it is necessary;

1.6. Ensure that all published articles are reviewed by qualified professionals. The editors ' decisions to accept or refuse to publish the article are based on the relevance, novelty and scientific significance of the article, its originality, clarity of presentation, reliability of the information contained in it and its compliance with the subject of the journal;

1.7. Encourage and consider reasonable criticism of articles published in the journal, giving the authors of the relevant works the opportunity to respond to criticism.

2. The reviewer should:

2.1. Agree to review only those manuscripts for which he has sufficient knowledge and which he can review in a timely manner;

2.2. Respect the confidentiality of the review and do not disclose any details of the manuscript or review during or after the review to anyone except the chief and responsible editors of the journal;

2.3. Do not use the information obtained in the course of reviewing for your own benefit or the benefit of other persons or organizations, or to harm other persons or discredit other persons;

2.4. Declare all possible conflicts of interest and seek advice from the journal if it is not sure whether the situation is a conflict of interest or not;

2.5. Do not allow the content of his review to be influenced by the origin of the manuscript, nationality, religious affiliation, political or other views of its authors, or commercial considerations;

2.6. Write a review objectively and constructively, refraining from hostile or inflammatory statements, and from defamatory or humiliating comments;

2.7. Provide the journal with accurate and truthful information about your personal and professional knowledge and experience.

3. Authors of scientific articles should:

3.1. Ensure that the published study is conducted in accordance with ethical and legal standards;

3.1. Present the results of their work clearly, honestly, without falsification and falsification of data;

3.2. Describe the methodology of the work clearly and unambiguously, so that their results can be confirmed by others;

3.3. Comply with the requirements for publications, that the proposed work contains the original material, is not plagiarism and has not been published previously;

3.4. Accurately reflect the contribution of individuals to the work; and a description of;

3.5. Disclose sources of financing and possible conflicts of interest related to them.

The published research should be conducted in accordance with ethical and legal norms

 Researchers should present the results of their work clearly, honestly, without falsification and falsification of data.

 Researchers should make efforts to describe the methodology of the work clearly and unambiguously so that their results can be confirmed by others.

 Researchers must comply with the publication requirements that the proposed work contains original material, is not plagiarism and has not been published previously.

 The authorship of a research paper should accurately reflect the contribution of individuals to its execution and description.

 Sources of funding and possible conflicts of interest should be disclosed.